

Residents Consultation Committee (RCC) and Barbican Residential Committee (BRC) Working Parties Terms of Reference

October 2017

Gardens Advisory Group (GAG)

The Barbican gardens, which include the private areas at Speed House, Thomas More as well as the planted podium raised beds and planters, are managed on behalf of residents by the Barbican Estate Office (BEO) in accordance with a Service Level Agreement with the actual work being carried out by the City Gardens team of the City of London's Open Spaces Department. Whilst the Fann Street Wildlife Garden is part of the Barbican gardens, it is developed and looked after by a collaboration between volunteers in the Barbican Wildlife Group and the Open Spaces Team. Please note, the GAG has no budget and therefore there will be no funding decisions without full consultation with the RCC.

The Residents' Consultation Committee (RCC) purpose in establishing the Gardens Advisory Group (GAG) was to have a partnership approach (of BEO officers and residents actively and co-operatively seeking improvements) to influence the presentation and future developments of the hard and soft landscaping on the Barbican Estate.

The Terms of Reference for GAG will be as follows:

- (a) **Strategic** – that GAG should be involved if the City of London decides, at any time, to implement the recommended overarching Estate Landscape Management Strategy (ELMS) which among other aims should set out the Future Vision of the Barbican Estate landscape and a prioritised ten year action plan for the planning, enhancement, renovation and management of the Estate landscape. Also the ELMS and in particular the ten year action plan, should be linked to an overall Estate Landscape Masterplan.

However, until such time as ELMS is adopted, then an annual action plan covering the same areas as the ELMS should be agreed including:

- details of any longer-term future plans for the landscape in the Estate,
- details of what the budgets might be for any projects, including the source of the funding.
- details, both the work and the financial costs, of the on-going management of the Estate.
- details of the overall income and expenditure figures for the Garden Maintenance,
- the percentage paid by the residents whilst
- giving GAG the authority to consider the amount paid by residents and make recommendations for changes to RCC.

- (b) **Quality** – related to the standards to be applied to projects and on-going management and maintenance of all areas, contribute to establishing standards for design of the landscape, to see this translated into appropriate budgets split between the public and residents areas, to contribute to balancing cost efficiency with providing a high quality visual amenity setting for residents and the public.

- (c) **Operational** – to see that the agreed quality outcomes are delivered day-to-day and to manage/oversee the active contribution from residents and other volunteers.
- (d) **Encouragement** – to encourage residents to ‘green’ their personal space on balconies and patios by use of troughs and pots as well as involving them in the communal areas by, for example, resident planting days.
- (e) **Links** – provide a means for links with and among organisations with common interest in managing, developing and promoting the Barbican landscape. This will include working with the Guildhall School of Music and Drama (GSMD) to co-ordinate its roof garden into the overall landscape strategy for planting as it is visible from the residential blocks and the Highwalks.
- (f) **Communications** – ensure that information about the development of the Barbican landscape is fully and accurately communicated to all residents, also ensure that details of how residents can contact GAG are published in the appropriate places. Quarterly reports to RCC.

To achieve the aims set out in the Terms of Reference, the necessary and appropriate communications between GAG, the BEO and any other bodies should be set up.

2 GAG Operational Notes and Inspection Areas

The following are the operational guidelines agreed with the RCC for GAG.

- 1 GAG is set up by RCC as a Working Party, where the RCC selects the residents who will serve.
- 2 There will be 10 residents on GAG, of which 1 resident will be identified in the Minutes as a representative of the RCC, Barbican Wildlife Group, Barbican Allotment Group and Barbican Horticultural Society. Residents will serve for a period of 5 years with a maximum of 3 members standing down in any one year. However residents standing down can be re-selected by the RCC if it so wishes.
- 3 Resident members will advise details of their other involvement with Barbican organisations and give details of their relevant skills so that it can be seen that all aspects of the hard and soft landscaping are covered. If there is a skill gap, then GAG will have the power to consult with other residents to cover this.
- 4 In addition to the residents, there should be a representative of the Barbican Estate Office (BEO) who will produce the Agenda. In addition, the City Gardens Manager and a working gardener of City Gardens should attend.
- 5 The Chairman’s role and that of producing the Minutes will be undertaken by the residents on a rotating basis. The individuals to undertake these roles will be agreed at the previous meeting.
- 6 Meetings should be scheduled for the following calendar year.
- 7 There should be 4 meetings a year, evenly spread throughout the calendar year.

- 8 The Agenda for the meeting should be issued at least 7 working days before the meeting. If anyone has an item for the Agenda, this should be notified to the BEO at least 14 working days before the meeting.
- 9 Draft Minutes should be issued within 10 working days of the meeting, any comments and changes should be given within the subsequent 7 working days.
- 10 GAG should produce an Annual Report on its activities to present to RCC for its June meeting.
- 11 A list showing all the areas that GAG is concerned with is attached and will be kept up to date. In addition, GAG will maintain a relationship with the GSMD regarding its roof garden.
- 12 GAG will set up inspections by small groups of resident members to ensure that all the areas on the attached list are regularly considered and any remedial actions are taken. Other residents recruited via the House Groups can be involved in the inspections.
- 13 Lines of communication will be established to ensure that GAG has all the information to comply with its Terms of Reference.
- 14 GAG will ensure that residents are aware of its existence and official communications will be issued.
- 15 GAG will help to arrange residents planting days and other events aimed at engaging residents with their landscape.

Service Level Agreement (SLA) Working Party

Objectives

- To review the quality and performance of the SLAs (Customer Care, Estate Management, Property Maintenance, Major Works and Open Spaces) that are charged for in accordance with the terms set out in the lease against targets and Key Performance Indicators (KPI) in the appropriate SLA or contract specification

This objective will be fulfilled through:

- SLA action plans and KPIs presented quarterly to the SLA Working Party, Residents Consultation Committee (RCC) and Barbican Residential Committee
- Reviewing service delivery monitoring reports/action plans and KPIs and other comments/complaints raised via House Officers, SLA Working Party, RCC (including pre committee questions), surveys, House Groups, residents general comments
- Engaging in discussion with officers to understand the processes and policies around achieving the targets and KPIs in the appropriate SLA or contract specification

- Reviewing a House Officer's decision as 'residents champion' that issues have been resolved
- Updating and reviewing the SLAs to be presented to the RCC for approval
- Reviewing the House Officer's decision as 'residents champion' that issues have been resolved
- Updating and reviewing the SLAs to be presented to the RCC for approval

Asset Maintenance Working Party

Aim: To have oversight of and provide comment on the Asset Maintenance of the Barbican Estate.

The objective of this is to:

- Maintain the Estate in very good condition, noting its listed status and therefore extend its life.
- Avoid unplanned costly major repairs and to plan future financial commitments both for the landlord and residents with a view to saving money in the long term and avoiding lumpy expenditure.

These objectives will be fulfilled by:

- Surveying and monitoring the condition of the structure and exterior, plant and equipment, supply services, windows and doors and making an assessment of their life expectancy so that replacement works can be programmed.
- Evaluating historical expenditure and practice and analysing information from current day to day repairs and maintenance systems in conjunction with the asset software systems.
- Analysing the validity of the Asset Maintenance plan to ensure that it is comprehensive.
- Assessing the assets in terms of their sustainability and energy efficiency.
- Monitoring current technology and developments and identifying any opportunities for savings that can be made – for example, whole life cycle costing.

Background Underfloor Heating Working Party

1. EDF Energy have given notice of their intention to withdraw from their contract with the City of London Corporation (COLC) for the supply of electricity for the Barbican Residential Estate's background underfloor heating system (currently 13 hours off-peak energy) by either January 2014/April 2014. Therefore, the COLC must tender a new contract for the supply of electricity from either January or October 2014 (the next winter heating period). The UHWP should:
 - determine a preferred (i) supplier and (ii) tariff for the supply of electricity for the background underfloor heating system from 2014 onwards;
 - determine whether that new supply contract should be on a short, medium or long-term basis (in part on the basis of their findings in relation to the second point below).

The UHWP should also consider, amongst other things:

- COL (and Barbican Residential Estate) economies of scale and negotiating power.
- Alternative supply arrangements, such as using energy brokers, direct negotiation with energy wholesalers/electricity generating companies, international suppliers.

The UHWP should inform the RCC and BRC of its recommendations.

Milestone: as a matter of urgency, with a requirement of 31 January 2014 to prepare a report with recommendations for the RCC and BRC, to allow the COLC to get a new contract in place by 1 October 2014.

2. The current electric background underfloor heating system on the Barbican Residential Estate has been in place for over 40 years. UKPN have given notice that it will cease to maintain the Cyclo-Control (control and switching system) beyond March 2015. The lease requires the provision of *background heating* to the flats from 1 October to 30 April in each year and at other reasonable times. The UHWP should determine its view on, *inter alia*, each of the following options (or a combination thereof):
 - **Maintain both the current heating and control systems with on-going repairs as and when required.** Consider, amongst other things: the efficiency of the systems; cost of the electricity supply; current/future projected maintenance costs; availability of a maintenance provider; and basic terms of any Service Level Agreement. Also consider potential improvements, such as: hours of charge; triggers for charge (forecast/current temperature); and adding individual heating controls;
 - **Replace both the current heating and control systems.** Consider: efficiency and sustainability; different heating systems both at an individual flat level (e.g. storage heaters, radiators) and at a Barbican Residential Estate level; systems used on other COL and local estates and buildings (including the system used in

Frobisher Crescent (which is out of the scope of this UHWP)); feasibility and costs of retro-fitting a new heating system in all flats (whether underfloor heating or other); and other appropriate issues and feasible solutions. This includes consideration of various energy generation sources (including, but not limited to, Ground Source Heat Pumps (GSHP), Combined Heat & Power (CHP), Micro-generation sources (e.g. solar PC, micro-wind), biomass, and heat recovery systems) and, where possible, swift elimination of those sources which are not feasible for use on the Barbican Residential Estate. In terms of the control system, consider, amongst other things: the desirability, availability and cost of new controllers; remote Cyclo-Control transmitters; and individual flat/room controls;

- **Replace the current control mechanism, but maintain the rest of the heating system.** As above, consider the desirability, availability and cost of new controllers; transmitters; individual flat/room controls; and whether any improvements to the rest of the heating system are available/desirable;
- **Replace the current heating system, but retain the control mechanism.** Consider same points as above in relation to replacement of the heating system, and whether any improvements to the control mechanism are available/desirable.

In addition, the UHWP should also address:

- **Benchmarking.** Evaluation of the current system to allow for meaningful comparison to any proposed or implemented changes. This will include, without limitation:
 - review of the data from EDF's proposal to carry out half hourly monitoring in 2 blocks on the Barbican Residential Estate; and
 - the collection of temperature data in a selection of flats on the Barbican Residential Estate
 - analysis and modelling the gathered data and comparison with each other and any other relevant sources of information;
- **Minimising heat loss/wastage.** Consider improving insulation of flats, repair/replacement of windows and doors (in flats and common areas), level of double glazing, increased maintenance standards;
- **What the requirements of the lease are.** The UHWP's view of "background heating" and how that should be monitored/judged going forward;
- **Any possible funding options.**

Following consideration of these issues, the UHWP should inform the BRC and RCC of its findings and recommendations. The UHWP should also consider whether an

on-going residents committee is advisable to consider these issues on a long-term basis.

Milestone: To prepare a report on the options considered and give recommendations on which are most feasible. **Timescale:** to the extent that it impacts on the first point, as soon as possible, otherwise, on a timescale to be determined by the RCC.

3. The UHWP should determine whether they require a specialist consultant(s) to advise it, and if so, specify (i) the scope of each consultant's remit and (ii) their preferred consultant(s). The scope of each consultant's report and the indicative costs are to be approved by the RCC and BRC, so the information should be provided to them as soon as possible.

Leaseholder Service Charge Working Party

Objectives

- To examine Leaseholder Service Charges (LSC) and basis of their calculation, and ensure that information about charges is transparent and is communicated effectively to the RCC and Working Party.
- To understand and where possible improve, processes and policies within the BEO that ensure charges made under the LSC provide good value in delivering services as defined in the Service Level Agreement and engage with officers to achieve this.

The objectives will be fulfilled through:

- Meetings to review financial reports to be presented to the Residents Consultation Committee (RCC) relating to the LSC account.
- Review and examine historical LSC expenditure and comparing this with current or planned levels of expenditure.
- Reviewing draft budgets for the LSC and discussing with officers the basis on which these estimates have been made.
- Engaging in discussion with officers to understand the processes and policies around achieving value for money within the LSC.
- Consider whether more forecasting is required and to consider what is and isn't currently forecasted.
- Forwarding any relevant comments that may impact on service delivery to the Working Parties of the RCC including the Asset Maintenance Working Party and Service Level Agreement Working Party for comment.
- Report annually to the RCC.

Electrical Vehicle Charging Points Working Party

Objectives

Purpose of working group is to determine the most suitable options and solutions for introducing electric vehicle charging points to the Barbican Estate car parks. The solution will need to:

- Meet the needs and requirements of residents charging their vehicles
- Be future-proofed to accommodate continued growth in electric vehicles
- Be cost neutral for other residents and the BE office
- Easy to manage with need for minimal oversight and input from the BE office

Key issues to be considered and resolved include:

1. What do residents require to support the shift from ICEs to EVs?
2. What is the most suitable infrastructure for the BE?
3. What is the most suitable way of allocating bays to EV users and charging points?
4. What is the best pricing mechanism to recover costs?
5. Use a 6 month pilot or trial period to test out the different models/options.
6. How will it be delivered?
7. What are the timescales?
8. Ensure accessibility of EV bays is considered
9. How will the pilot/trial be monitored and evaluated?

Car Park Charging Working Party

Terms of Reference:

To proceed in the reference of the Grand Committee to review the charging policy for car parking and storage in the car parking areas of the Barbican and to report back thereon, with recommendations.